UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,364	09/08/2003	Chih-Hung Chien	3313-1025P	8239
2292 7590 02/09/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER	
			PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A	
FALLS CHURC	H, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	02/09/2007	EI ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 02/09/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. 10/656,364 CHIEN, CHIH-HUNG					
Jamisue A. Plucinski The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Taper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/656,364

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the quantity". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. With respect to Claim 1: the phrase "obtaining the packing number...... about the goods;" is indefinite. It is unclear to the examiner how a number can be "about" the goods. Is it corresponding to the goods?
- 5. With respect to Claim 1: the phrase "outputting the minimum delivery sku" is indefinite. It is unclear where and who the delivery sku is being out putted to.
- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the in-transit quantity of goods". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. With respect to Claim 1: the phrase "employing the minimum delivery sku..... to control the..." is indefitinite. It is unclear to the examiner how a delivery sku "controls" the goods.

 How are the goods being controlled and how is the delivery sku controlling them?

Application/Control Number: 10/656,364 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sajkowsky (US 2005/0099292).
- 10. With respect to Claims 1 and 7: Sajkowsky discloses the use of a method for controlling the delivery of in-transit goods (see abstract) comprising the steps:
 - a. Inputting goods data (see Paragraph 0083);
 - b. Obtaining packing number (Picking Docs, 162, and PO#s 910), pallet number (Paragraph 0109 and Figure 15) and carton number about the goods (Paragraph Carton tag, number, See Figures 9, 14-23 with corresponding detailed description);
 - c. Transforming numbers into a minimum delivery sku (Sajkowsky uses RFID tags, which equates to barcodes, Paragraph 0060, and discloses all information is linked and stored in a ship-file (Figures 15, 16, 18, 19 and 20, and discloses everything is controlled by the container tags, which has a unique number, which the examiner considers to be the minimum delivery sku, paragraph 0064);
 - d. Outputting the sku, and employing the sku to control the goods (see abstract, and Paragraphs 0072 and 0111).

11. With respect to Claims 2-6: Sajkowsky discloses the container info, and the ship file, also are linked to and contain, Company number (Manufacturing of goods, Paragraph 0079 and product owner, Paragraph 0064), Trade Document number (Paragraph 0064, and Customs harmonization code, Paragraph 0095) and product number (Item tag, Paragraph 0036).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Morimoto (7,035,856) discloses the use of information of a delivery is being controlled by a data file, Weng et al. (Us 2003/0158857) discloses the use of an item tracking system Luce et6 al. (US 2003/0125972) discloses the use of a system and method for tracking distribution, Hancock et al. (US 2003/0009361) discloses the use of a tracking and shipping system, and Kato et al. (5,971,587) discloses the use of a package and mail delivery system using tracking via a barcode.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamisue A. Plucinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-6811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,364

Art Unit: 3629

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jamisue Plucinski Patent Examiner Art Unit 3629